Cover Letter Attachments for Controlled Correspondences and ANDA Submissions Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to https://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Nicole Park 240-402-7764.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> December 2021 Generics

Draft — Not for Implementation

Cover Letter Attachments for Controlled Correspondences and ANDA Submissions Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:
Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353
Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov

https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> December 2021 Generics

 ${\it Draft-Not for Implementation}$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | . 1 |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| II. | BACKGROUND | . 2 |
| III. | USING THE COVER LETTER ATTACHMENTS | . 2 |
| | NDIX 1: COVER LETTER ATTACHMENT FOR CONTROLLED RESPONDENCES | . 4 |
| AME | NDIX 2: COVER LETTER ATTACHMENT FOR ORIGINAL ANDAS, NDMENTS TO ORIGINAL ANDAS, AND CORRESPONDENCE RELATED TO JINAL APPLICATIONS | . 6 |
| APPR | NDIX 3: COVER LETTER ATTACHMENT FOR SUPPLEMENTS TO OVED ANDAS, AMENDMENTS TO PENDING SUPPLEMENTS, NDMENTS TO TENTATIVELY APPROVED PEPFAR ANDAS, AND | |
| CORI | RESPONDENCE RELATED TO THESE SUBMISSIONS | 11 |

Draft — Not for Implementation

Cover Letter Attachments for Controlled Correspondences and ANDA Submissions Guidance for Industry¹

Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not

binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the

applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug

3 4

1

2

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

22 23

24 25 26

> 27 28 29

> 30 31

I. **INTRODUCTION**

for this guidance as listed on the title page.

This guidance is intended to assist prospective applicants, applicants, and holders of abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs) with optional attachments that can be used when preparing cover letters that accompany controlled correspondence² to the Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), as well as original ANDAs, amendments to ANDAs, and supplements to approved ANDAs submitted to FDA. These attachments do not replace the recommendations for the content of cover letters provided in other FDA guidances.³

The contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the public in any way, unless specifically incorporated into a contract. This document is intended only to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law. FDA guidance documents, including this guidance, should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word should in FDA guidance means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Generic Drugs in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

² Controlled correspondence is correspondence submitted to the Agency, by or on behalf of a generic drug manufacturer or related industry, requesting information on a specific element of generic drug product development. See GDUFA Reauthorization Performance Goals and Program Enhancements Fiscal Years 2018-2022 (GDUFA II Commitment Letter) a vailable at

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/GenericDrugUserFees/UCM525234.pdf. See also the draft guidance for industry Controlled Correspondence Related to Generic Drug Development (November 2017). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

³ Recommended content of cover letters (or first page of submission) is provided in the following guidances for industry: Controlled Correspondence Related to Generic Drug Development (December 2020); ANDA Submissions—Content and Format (June 2019); ANDA Submissions—Amendments to Abbreviated New Drug Applications Under GDUFA (July 2018); and ANDA Submissions–Prior Approval Supplements Under GDUFA (October 2017). We update guidance periodically. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents.

Draft — Not for Implementation

II. BACKGROUND

A cover letter is generally included with controlled correspondence to OGD and submissions to an ANDA file. While a cover letter is not required content for an ANDA, the cover letter is a part of the electronic common technical document (eCTD) hierarchy and is included in Module 1 of an ANDA submission.⁴

The cover letter provides an overview of the submission and helps FDA ensure that the submission is properly triaged and assigned to the appropriate assessors. In an effort to ensure that submissions are effectively managed by FDA and acted upon within the performance review goal dates set by the Generic Drug User Fee Amendments (GDUFA),⁵ FDA has developed cover letter attachments to accompany, not replace, the applicant's cover letter for the following common submissions: controlled correspondence, original ANDAs and amendments to ANDAs, and supplements to approved ANDAs.

III. USING THE COVER LETTER ATTACHMENTS

The cover letter attachments provided in this guidance have been developed by the disciplines that receive and respond to controlled correspondence and that assess ANDAs (including amendments and supplements). The cover letter attachments have been designed as a checklist to reflect common types of information applicants are expected to address in the cover letter for their submission. Please note that these checklists are not an exhaustive list of the information needed from applicants. There may be additional items that need to be submitted with the application, for example, information related to patents and exclusivities.

We recommend that prospective ANDA applicants, ANDA applicants, and ANDA holders complete and submit the appropriate attachment(s) along with their cover letter. Applicants are not required to submit an attachment with their cover letter; however, the optional checklist attachment can be a useful guide to help applicants prepare their cover letters. Completing a relevant checklist and attaching it to the cover letter submission is helpful to FDA in the triage of applications and management of submissions. The format of the checklist may be adapted by the applicant for their convenience. The main purpose of the cover letter attachment is to help applicants ensure that they are addressing relevant information outlined in the checklist in any cover letter submitted to FDA for the submissions covered in this guidance.

The attachment provided in Appendix 1 of this guidance is intended for use with controlled correspondence submitted to OGD. The attachment provided in Appendix 2 of this guidance is intended for original ANDA submissions, amendments to original ANDAs, and any correspondence associated with that original ANDA. The attachment provided in Appendix 3 of the guidance is for supplements to approved ANDAs, amendments to pending supplements,

⁴ See *The Comprehensive Table of Contents Headings and Hierarchy*, a vailable at https://www.fda.gov/media/76444/download.

⁵ See Generic Drug User Fee Amendments of 2012 (Public Law 112-144, Title III) and FDA Reauthorization Act of 2017 (Public Law 115-52, Title III). See also GDUFA II Commitment Letter.

Draft — Not for Implementation

submissions to tentatively approved ANDAs under the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS 73 74

Relief (PEPFAR) program, ⁶ and any correspondence related to these submissions.

⁶ Under PEPFAR, certain antiretroviral products that have been granted a tentative approval may be distributed for use outside of the United States, even when there is still patent and/or exclusivity protection in the United States. See FDA's PEPFAR web page, a vailable at https://www.fda.gov/international-programs/presidents-emergency- plan-aids-relief-pepfar.

Draft — Not for Implementation

APPENDIX 1: COVER LETTER ATTACHMENT FOR CONTROLLED CORRESPONDENCES

| Controlled Correspondence (CC) Background | ound |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Submission Date | |
| Subject | |
| Person submitting the CC | |
| Name | |
| Title | |
| Entity (e.g., corporate affiliation) | |
| Note here if this is a U.S. Agent or the | |
| Prospective Applicant | |
| Address | |
| Phone number | |
| Email | |
| Relevant Reference Listed Drug (RLD)/Re | ference Standard (RS) information |
| Application number | |
| Proprietary (brand) name | |
| Manufacturer | |
| Established Name | |
| Dosage form | |
| Strength(s) | |
| CC Information | |
| Concise statement of the inquiry | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Prospective applicant's recommendation of | |
| the appropriate FDA review discipline | |
| | |
| | |
| A 1 11/1 1 1 1 1 | ¥7 |

| Additional Background | Yes | No or N/A |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Are copies of relevant prior research, background information, and | | |
| supporting materials included with the CC submission? | | |

Previous CC History

- If this is related to a previous CC that was accepted for substantive review and response, provide the FDA-assigned CC number and submission date.
- Include copies of all previous, related CC(s) accepted for substantive review and response and the Agency's response.

| Previous CC | Submission | Concise Statement of | Concise Statement of Agency's |
|-------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Number | Date | Inquiry | Response |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

79

76

77

Draft — Not for Implementation

81

Related Submissions

• If this is related to a submitted abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) or a pre-assigned ANDA, provide the information below.

82

83 List of attachments provided:

- 85 1.
- 86 2.
- 87 3.

Draft — Not for Implementation

92

APPENDIX 2: COVER LETTER ATTACHMENT FOR ORIGINAL ANDAS, AMENDMENTS TO ORIGINAL ANDAS, AND CORRESPONDENCE RELATED TO ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS

| ANDA background | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Abbreviated new drug application | ation (ANDA) | | | | |
| number | | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | | |
| Submission Date | | | | | |
| Authorized Representative's l | | | | | |
| Submission Type (e.g., Origin | nal, Amendment) | | | | |
| Proposed Product Established | l Name | | | | |
| Dosage Form | | | | | |
| Strength(s) | | | | | |
| Reference Listed Drug (RLD) | | | | | |
| name (brand name), applicati | | | | | |
| Reference Standard (RS) (pro | | | | | |
| (brand name), if any, establish | hed name, and | | | | |
| application number) | | | | | |
| RLD/RS application number | used to conduct | | | | |
| Bioequivalence studies | , 1 1 | C . | /1 A | . M. 1 CD | 11'' 1D 1 |
| Note: If priority review is bei | | | | | |
| (MAPP) 5240.3 (Rev. 5), <i>Pri</i> | oritization of the R | eview of C | Origin | at ANDAs, Amename | ents, and Supplements' |
| C-14-111:1:1: | -4:: | 1 1 | • | | |
| Select all applicable informa | | | ISSIOII | D: 1 | |
| ☐ Administrative | ☐ Bioequiva | alence | | Biopharmaceutics | ☐ Clinical |
| General | | | | | |
| Correspondence | | | | | |
| ☐ Scientific General | | | | | |
| Correspondence | | | | | |
| ☐ Drug Substance | ☐ Drug Pro | duct | П | Labeling | ☐ Microbiology |
| _ | | duct | Ш | Labelling | - wheredology |
| (Drug Master File) DMF # | | | | | |
| DMF # | | | | | |
| | DI /T | | | M C · · | |
| ☐ Patent or | ☐ Pharm/To | OX | | Manufacturing: | |
| Exclusivity | | | | ☐ Facility | T01 1 |
| | | | | | Pharmaceutical |
| | | | | | ient (API) |
| | | | | | ed Dosage Form (FDF) |
| | | | | | ing packaging and |
| | | | | labeling | |
| | | | | ☐ Testing | |
| | | | | | e.g., storage, device |
| | | | | constitu | uent) |
| | | | | Urococc | |

.

⁷ FDA's MAPP 5240.3 (Rev. 5) is a vailable at https://www.fda.gov/media/89061/download.

| | onal background | Yes | No or N/A |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| 1. | Is the email secure? | | |
| | If no, apply for a secure email with the FDA by contacting | | |
| | secureemail@fda.hhs.gov | | |
| 2. | Was a Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence (PFC) submitted? | | |
| 3. | If a PFC was submitted, have any changes been made to the pre- submitted facility information? | | |
| 4. | Does the submission contain any technology that has been accepted into or may qualify for the Emerging Technology Program ⁸ ? | | |
| 5. | For all submissions: Was a Competitive Generic Therapy (CGT) designation granted for a drug product or drug products under this ANDA? | | |
| 6. | For original ANDAs: Is a CGT designation request being made | | |
| | concurrently with the original ANDA submission? | | |
| | If yes, please refer to the guidance for industry <i>Competitive Generic Therapies</i> (March 2020) for additional information on what to include | | |
| | in the cover letter. ⁹ | | |
| D | | T 7 | BT BT/A |
| | levice combination product Is the proposed product a drug-device combination product? | Yes | No or N/A |
| /. | If yes, answer questions #8 and #9 | | |
| | Note: If this is a combination product, mark the corresponding box to identify it as such on line #24 of the FDA Form 356h | | |
| 8. | Does the submission include comparative analyses for a drug-device combination product? | | |
| | If yes, then specify location in the submission: | | |
| 9. | Does the submission include additional data and/or information, such as data from a comparative use human factors study, to support differences in user interface? If yes, then specify location(s) in the submission: | | |
| | | | |

 $^{^8}$ See guidance for industry Advancement of Emerging Technology Applications for Pharmaceutical Innovation and Modernization (September 2017). 9 This guidance recommends including a statement supporting the request for designation and information

⁹ This guidance recommends including a statement supporting the request for designation and information supporting the assertion that there is in a dequate generic competition for the drug product under section 506H of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 356h).

Draft — Not for Implementation

| Study Information | Yes | No or N/A |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| 10. Does the submission include an alternate method for demonstrating | | |
| bioequivalence (BE) (e.g., modeling, in vitro testing) that deviates | | |
| from the current recommendations in a Product-Specific Guidance? | | |
| 11. Does your submission include a request for a waiver under 21 CFR | | |
| 320.22? | | |
| If yes and referencing a BE study submitted under a different | | |
| application, then include the original BE study's ANDA number, | | |
| submission date, and the module for the BE study referenced in | | |
| support of the waiver request in the current submission: | | |
| 12. Are there any additional data and/or information from comparative | | |
| studies (e.g., in-vitro studies, failed BE studies) included in other | | |
| modules besides module 5? | | |
| If yes, then specify study type and location in the submission: | | |
| | | |
| 13. Does the submission include a Pharmacology/Toxicology (safety) | | |
| justification, for example, nonclinical studies as defined in 21 CFR | | |
| 58.3(d)? | | |
| If yes, then specify justification/study type and location in the | | |
| submission: | | |
| | | |
| | | |

95 96

Proposed product development history

- For original ANDAs: ensure that copies of all related pre-ANDA communications accepted for substantive review and the Agency's response (e.g., controlled correspondence, pre-ANDA meeting written responses) are included in your submission
- For subsequent amendments: only include updates or new information since last submission, as applicable

| _ | ete this section to document any prior FDA communications for NDA, as appropriate | Yes | No or N/A |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| 1. | Controlled correspondence(s) If yes, include #(s) and deta(s): | | |
| | If yes, include #(s) and date(s): | | |
| 2. | Protocol review(s) | | |
| | If yes, include #(s) and date(s): | | |
| 3. | Bio-investigational new drug (Bio-IND) protocol review(s) | | |
| | If yes, include #(s) and date(s): | | |
| 4. | Approved suitability petition for the basis of submission, as per 21 | | |
| | CFR 314.94(a)(3)(iii) | | |
| | If yes, include docket number and a copy of FDA's correspondence approving the petition: | | |

Draft — Not for Implementation

| 5. | Approved citizen petition requesting a specific basis of submission If yes, include docket number: | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 6. | Pre-ANDA meeting(s) If yes, include #(s) and date(s): | |
| 7. | Scientific General Correspondence(s) ¹⁰ after complete response letter (CRL) response (for amendments only) If yes, include #(s) and date(s): | |
| 8. | Device related communication(s) (for drug-device combination product only) If yes, include #(s) and date(s): | |

| For Amendments Only | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Type of amendment | Date of FDA correspondence or action that elicited the amendment (e.g., CRL, discipline review letter (DRL), information request (IR), or tentative approval (TA) | Is this a re CRL? | esponse to a |
| | | Yes | No or N/A |
| Unsolicited | | | |
| Solicited | | | |
| Post-TA amendment | | | |
| Post-TA Request for Final Approval Patent Certification/Statement | | Yes | No or N/A |
| (iv) To change the physical for ingredientIf yes, please address this according to the physical for ingredient | other condition of use; changes in product formulation; or m or crystalline structure of the active | | |
| Does the amendment submission incl | lude any of the following? | Yes | No or N/A |
| 2. New strength (including new f | ill volume for parenteral products) | | |
| 3. Modified formulation | | | |

¹⁰ A *scientific general correspondence* is a general correspondence from an applicant to FDA requesting scientific advice after a complete response letter has been issued by the Agency.

Draft—Not for Implementation

| 4. New batch | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 5. Specification change(s) | | | | | |
| 6. New container closure system | | | | | |
| 7. Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) source change If yes, then include Drug Master File (DMF) #: | | | | | |
| 8. Changes or additions to the manufacturing | ng/quality facilities? | | | | |
| 9. For a request for final approval, is new d | ata being submitted? | | | | |
| 10. New bioequivalence (BE) study/studies | | | | | |
| If yes, then specify the following for each new BE study: | | | | | |
| a. Select study type: | | | | | |
| in vivo or in vitro, including failed study | | | | | |
| b. Study number: | | | | | |
| c. Study site (clinical, analytical, in-vitro testing) | | | | | |
| Name and address: | | | | | |
| d. Location of new BE study in | the submission: | | | | |
| 11. Updated labeling due to a new or revised patent or exclusivity currently listed in the Orange Book ¹¹ | | | | | |

¹¹ The publication *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (commonly known as the Orange Book) identifies drug products approved on the basis of safety and effectiveness by FDA under the FD&C Act and related patent and exclusivity information. For more information on the Orange Book, see the Agency's web page https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/approved-drug-products-therapeutic-equivalence-evaluations-orange-book.

Draft — Not for Implementation

ANDA background

APPENDIX 3: COVER LETTER ATTACHMENT FOR SUPPLEMENTS TO APPROVED ANDAS, AMENDMENTS TO PENDING SUPPLEMENTS, AMENDMENTS TO TENTATIVELY APPROVED PEPFAR ANDAS, AND CORRESPONDENCE RELATED TO THESE SUBMISSIONS

| number | i (ANDA) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Applicant | | | | | |
| Submission Date | | | | | |
| Email | | | | | |
| Established Name | | | | | |
| Dosage Form | | | | | |
| Strength(s) | | | | | |
| Reference Listed Drug (RLD) (pr | oprietary | | | | |
| name (brand name) and application | | | | | |
| Reference Standard (RS) (proprie | • | | | | |
| (brand name), if any, established | name, and | | | | |
| application number) | 1 1 0 | - ED . 1 | | (D 11 1 1 D | 1 (2.51.727) |
| If priority review is being request | | | | | |
| 5240.3 (Rev. 5) Prioritization of a | ine Keview of C | riginai AN | DAS, AN | nenaments, ana Supp | piements ¹² |
| Colort all applicable information | | ha auhusiaa | | | |
| Select all applicable information Administrative General | | uivalence | 01011 | Biopharmaceutics | ☐ Clinical |
| Correspondence | | urvalence | Ш | Biopharmaceutics | Cillical |
| ☐ Scientific General | | | | | |
| Correspondence | | | | | |
| • | | D 1 / | | т 1 1' | |
| ☐ Drug Substance DMF #: | □ Drug | Product | | Labeling | ☐ Microbio logy |
| DMF #: | | | | | logy |
| ☐ Patent or | ☐ Pharn | ·/Tox | | Manufaaturina | |
| ☐ Patent or Exclusivity | | 1/ TOX | | Manufacturing: ☐ Facility | |
| Exclusivity | | | | • | Pharmaceutical |
| | | | | | ient (API) |
| | | | | • | ed Dosage Form |
| | | | | | (including |
| | | | | | ging/labeling) |
| | | | | ☐ Testing | _ |
| | | | | • | (e.g., storage |
| | | | | | ouse, device |
| | | | | | uent parts) |
| | | | | □ Process | |
| ☐ Notice of Commercial Ma | arketing | | _ | | |

¹² FDA's MAPP 5240.3 (Rev. 5) is a vailable at https://www.fda.gov/media/89061/download.

Draft — Not for Implementation

| Additional background | Yes | No or N/A |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1. Is the email secure? | | |
| If no, apply for a secure email with the FDA by contacting | | |
| secureemail@fda.hhs.gov. | | |
| 2. Was a Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence (PFC) submitt | ted? | |
| 3. If a PFC was submitted, have any changes been made to the pre | e- | |
| submitted facility information? | | |
| 4. Does the submission contain any technology that has been acce | epted | |
| into or may qualify for the Emerging Technology Program ¹³ ? | | |
| Drug-device combination product | Yes | No or N/A |
| 5. Is the proposed product a drug-device combination product? | | |
| If yes, answer questions #6 through #9. | | |
| 6. Does the supplement propose a change to the drug-device com | bination | |
| product that may impact quality or labeling"? | | |
| 7. Does the supplement propose a change to the drug-device comb | bination | |
| product that may impact the user interface? | | |
| 8. Does the submission include comparative analyses for a drug-c | device | |
| combination product? | | |
| If yes, then specify location in the submission: | | |
| 9. Does the submission include additional data and/or information | n, such | |
| as data from a comparative use human factors study, to support | t | |
| differences in user interface? | | |
| If yes, then specify location(s) in the submission: | | |
| Does the submission (supplement or amendment to the supplement | t) Yes | No or N/A |
| include any of the following? | | |
| 10. New strength (including new fill volume for parenteral produc | ets) | |
| 11. Modified formulation | | |
| 12. Specification change(s) | | |
| 13. New container closure system | | |
| 14. Request for an Rx-to-over the counter (OTC) switch | | |

 13 See guidance for industry Advancement of Emerging Technology Applications for Pharmaceutical Innovation and Modernization (September 2017).

Draft—Not for Implementation

| Type of amendment | Supplement # | Date of FDA correspondence or that elicited the amendment (e.g., Complete Response | | Is this a res | ронѕе со а |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|------------|
| For Amendments Only | | | | | |
| □ PAS | □ СВЕ- | -30 | | CBE-0 | |
| Select one filing category corresponding to the highest risk of all proposed supplemental changes, ranked by supplement filing category (PAS, CBE-30, CBE-0) per 21 CFR 314.70 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| If yes, include the module where your waiver is located: | | | | | |
| 24. A waiver request unc | der 21 CFR 320.22? | <u> </u> | | | |
| 23. An alternate method testing) that deviates Specific Guidance | | BE (e.g., modeling, in commendations in a P | | | |
| b. Study Numberc. Study Site (clinid. Location of new | • | itro testing) Name and mission | Address | | |
| | he following for eac | h new BE study: , including failed stud | ly | | |
| | nonclinical studies as defined in 21 CFR 58.3(d) If yes, then specify justification/study type and location in the | | | | |
| If yes, then include I 21. A Pharmacology/To | Orug Master File (D) | MF) #: | e | | |
| 19. Removal of a facility 20. Active Pharmaceutic | | source change | | | |
| • | 18. A new facility that has never been inspected for similar operations to those proposed in the supplement | | | | |
| 17. Updated labeling due to a new or revised patent or exclusivity currently listed in the Orange Book | | | | | |
| 16. Revised and/or new patent certification and/or exclusivity statement | | | | | |
| 15. A reactivation/reintr | roduction request as | noted in MAPP 5200. | 7 (Rev. | | |

_

109

 $^{^{14}\,}FDA's\,MAPP\,5200.7\,(Rev.\,1)\,is\,a\,vailable\,at\,\underline{https://www.fda.gov/media/94417/download}.$

Draft—Not for Implementation

| | (CRL), discipline review letter (DRL), information request (IR), or tentative approval (TA)): | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| | | Yes | No or N/A |
| Unsolicited | | | |
| Solicited | | | |
| President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief Program (PEPFAR) Post-TA ¹⁵ | | | |

111

Proposed changes For all supplemental changes proposed, populate the table below, ranked by supplement filing category (PAS, CBE-30, CBE-0) per 21 CFR 314.70 # Change Filing Scale-Up and Post Approval Justification for filing category based

| # | Change description | Filing category | Scale-Up and Post Approval Changes (SUPAC) level (1, 2 or 3), as applicable ¹⁶ | Justification for filing category based on current guidances and/or risk assessment If the same change has been previously approved, include ANDA # and approval date for the same change. |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |

¹⁵ Under PEPFAR, certain antiretroviral products that have been granted a tentative approval may be distributed for use outside of the United States, even when there is still patent and/or exclusivity protection in the United States. See FDA's PEPFAR web page, a vailable at https://www.fda.gov/international-programs/presidents-emergency-plan-aids-relief-pepfar.

¹⁶ SUPAC guidances are a vailable for modified-release solid oral dosage forms, immediate-release solid oral dosage forms, and nonsterile semisolid dosage form products (see the guidances for industry SUPAC-MR: Modified Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Scale-Up and Postapproval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls; In Vitro Dissolution Testing and In Vivo Bioequivalence Documentation (October 1997); SUPAC-IR: Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms: Scale-Up and Post-Approval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls, In Vitro Dissolution Testing, and In Vivo Bioequivalence Documentation (November 1995); and SUPAC-SS: Nonsterile Semisolid Dosage Forms; Scale-Up and Post-Approval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls; In Vitro Release Testing and In Vivo Bioequivalence Documentation (May 1997)). These guidances define levels of change (i.e., SUPAC levels 1, 2, and 3) for the covered products, along with recommended tests and documentation that should support the change.